WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

11.4	\sim 1		•
IINITAA	STOTE	$\triangle t \triangle$	marica
United	Ulaics	UI F	viliciica

UKL

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

			V.			
Jorge Gamboa-Ruelas			mboa-Ruelas	Case Number:	16-01076M-001	
	ordance are estab		Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142 (Check one or both, as applicable.)	e(f), a detention hearing has been	held. I conclude that the following	
	by clear and convincing evidence the defendant is a danger to the community and require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.					
A		•	ance of the evidence the defendar	nt is a serious flight risk and requi	re the detention of the defendant	
			PART I	FINDINGS OF FACT		
	(1)		.C. §3142 (e)(2)(A): The defendal	•	al offense)(state or local offense that jurisdiction had existed) that is	
			a crime of violence as defined in	18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4).		
			an offense for which the maximu	m sentence is life imprisonment of	or death.	
			an offense for which a maximum	term of imprisonment of ten year	s or more is prescribed in	
			a felony that was committed afte offenses described in 18 U.S.C.	r the defendant had been convicte § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparabl	ed of two or more prior federal e state or local offenses.	
			any felony that involves a minor videvice (as those terms are define to register under 18 U.S.C. §225	ed in section 921), or any other da	sion or use of a firearm or destructive angerous weapon, or involves a failure	
	(2)		C. §3142(e)(2)(B): The offense of epending trial for a federal, state of		ted while the defendant was on	
	(3)	18 U.S convict	3 U.S.C. §3142(e)(2)(C): A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the (date of privide) on viction (release of the defendant from imprisonment) for the offense described in finding 1.			
	(4)	will rea	gs Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a isonably assure the safety of (an)coutted this presumption.	rebuttable presumption that no cother person(s) and the communit	ondition or combination of conditions y. I further find that the defendant has	
			Alte	ernative Findings		
	(1)	18 U.S	C.C. 3142(e)(3): There is probable	cause to believe that the defenda	ant has committed an offense	
			for which a maximum term of im	prisonment of ten years or more i	s prescribed in	
			under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c), 956(a)), or 2332b.		
			under 18 U.S.C. 1581-1594, for prescribed.	which a maximum term of impriso	onment of 20 years or more is	
			an offense involving a minor victi	im under section	2	
	(2)	The de	_		hat no condition or combination of	

¹Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

 $^{{}^{2}\}text{Insert as applicable 18 U.S.C. }\S\{1201,\ 1591,2241-42,\ 2244(a)(1),\ 2245,\ 2251,\ 2251A,\ 2252(a)(1),\ 2252(a)(2),\ 2252(a)(3,\ 2252(a)(4),\ 2260,\ 2421,\ 2422,\ 2423,\ or\ 2425.$

conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.

	Alternative Findings
(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee; no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.
(2)	No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of others and the community.
(3)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will (obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice) (threaten, injure, or intimidate a prospective witness or juror).
(4)	
	PART II WRITTEN STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION (Check one or both, as applicable.)
(1)	I find that the credible testimony and information ³ submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing evidence as to danger that:
(2)	I find that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:
A	I find that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that: The defendant is not a citizen of the United States.
A	
(2) 1 4 1 5	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States.
A	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States. The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally. If released herein, the defendant faces deportation proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs
X 0 0 0 X 4 X	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States. The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally. If released herein, the defendant faces deportation proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court.
X 0 0 0 X 4 X	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States. The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally. If released herein, the defendant faces deportation proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court. The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona. The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably
X	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States. The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally. If released herein, the defendant faces deportation proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court. The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona. The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.
X 0 0 0 X 4 X	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States. The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally. If released herein, the defendant faces deportation proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court. The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona. The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance. The defendant has a prior criminal history.
	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States. The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally. If released herein, the defendant faces deportation proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court. The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona. The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance. The defendant has a prior criminal history. The defendant lives and works in Mexico. The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has
	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States. The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally. If released herein, the defendant faces deportation proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court. The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona. The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance. The defendant has a prior criminal history. The defendant lives and works in Mexico. The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.
	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States. The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally. If released herein, the defendant faces deportation proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court. The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona. The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance. The defendant has a prior criminal history. The defendant lives and works in Mexico. The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico. There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.
	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States. The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally. If released herein, the defendant faces deportation proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court. The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona. The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance. The defendant has a prior criminal history. The defendant lives and works in Mexico. The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico. There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered. The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement. The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of
	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States. The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally. If released herein, the defendant faces deportation proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court. The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona. The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance. The defendant has a prior criminal history. The defendant lives and works in Mexico. The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico. There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered. The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.

³The rules concerning admissibility of evidence in criminal trials do not apply to the presentation and consideration of information at the [detention] hearing. 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f). See 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) for the factors to be taken into account.

	Case 2:16-cr-00335-DJH Document 3 Filed 02/08/16 Page 3 of 3
	In addition:
	The Court incorporates by reference the findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter.
	PART III DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION
pending order o	The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement rections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody gappeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On f a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court ding.
	PART IV APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE
District from th objection	IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility er a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the Court. Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., effective December 1, 2009, Defendant shall have fourteen (14) days e date of service of a copy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written ons with the district court. Failure to timely file objections in accordance with Rule 59(a) may waive the right to review. FED.R.CRIM.P.
	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to aw and investigate the potential third party custodian.
DATE:	February 8, 2016
DATE.	JAMES F. METCALF United States Magistrate Judge